IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE FOURTEENTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

**THE HON'BLE MS JUSTICE G.ROHINI**

-

**WRIT PETITION NO : 19272 of 2004**

Between:

M/s.Rekha General Stores, rep., by its Proprietor K.Ramulu, s/o Mallaiah,
R/o Prakasam Bazar, Nalgonda.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 Station House Officer, I Town Police Station, Nalgonda.
2 K.Krishna Reddy,
R/o Nagarjuna Colony VT Road, Nalgonda.
3 K.Sekhar Reddy, s/o Kondeti Koti Reddy,
R/o Suruchi Ice Factory, MLG Road, Nalgonda.
4 The Superintendent of Police, Nalgonda District, Nalgonda.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue appropriate writ order or direction more particularly in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the inaction of the respondents 1 & 4 in initiating appropriate legal action against the respondents 2 & 3 herein on the complaint dt.12-10-2004 of the petitioner as arbitrary illegal and violative of fundamental rights guaranteed under Art.14, 21 and 300-A of the Constitution of India and consequently direct to initiate appropriate action against the respondents 2 & 3 herein as per law by protecting the petitioner.

**Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.SAI GANGADHAR CHAMARTY**

**Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 and 4: GP FOR HOME**

**Counsel for the Respondent Nos.2 and 3: ---**

The Court at the admission stage made the following:

**ORDER:**

The only grievance of the petitioner is that though he lodged a complaint on 12.10.2004 before the respondents 1 and 4 complaining that respondents 2 and 3 have been interfering with his possession and apprehending danger to his life, the respondents 1 and 4 failed to take any action.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for Home appearing for the respondents 1 and 4 and on a perusal of the material on record, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition with a direction to the respondents 1 and 4 to consider the complaint of the petitioner dated 12.10.2004 and to take the necessary steps in accordance with law.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

_____

14.10.2004

VGB

To

1 The Superintendent of Police, Nalgonda District, Nalgonda.

2 The Station House Officer, I Town Police Station, Nalgonda.

3 2CCs to the Government Pleader for Home, High Court Buildings,

Hyderabad (OUT)

4 2CD copies